Introduction to Law Chapter 5 Notes "Interpreting the Constitu	ution"	Name: Date:
•	Constitution and federal/state	legislation:
People can generally agree	on what the text of a	or
	nave problems over	
when they cannot reach a	on what it m	eans in today's
Generally speaking, there a legal analysts interpret laws	re two main ways in which cour and constitutions:	t justices, judges, and
A. as a	that evolves as the _	changes
B. as the time that they we	whose meaning	from
I. Interpreting laws and co	onstitutions as "living documen	ts":
The document's meaning is changing,	viewed as, and	to meet the culture's
This has been the position of Court in recent decades.	of of the justices of	the U.S. Supreme
II. Interpret laws and cor	nstitutions as enduring docume	ents:
This theory of interpretation	n is often called	It
interprets a legal document	as meaning not what	(much
	nks it ought to mean, but what it	
It r	equires a judge to apply a	or
only as it was	·	
The term has a second mea covers three slightly different	ning. It is often loosely used as a ent philosophies:	an umbrella term that
A. Originalism: Th	e belief that the meaning of the l	U.S. Constitution is It is to be interpreted
today in the way in v	vnich the authors	1t.
One might look at the	e of the Fra	mers of the constitution
for	This is a very popular theory	y among

_•

B. Textualism: This is the belief that the Constitution's or law's		
as perceived b	y living at the	
time that it was adopted should gove		
C. Literalism: The belief that a cor	nstitution or law should be interpreted	
according to the	of its words at the time the	
document was authorized. Thus:		
" the contemporary writings of the	Framers areto	
any interpretation of the Constitution	n. The only thing one needs to interpret	
the Constitution is a	of the words contained therein,	
with an expert		
of those words.		

Supreme Court Decision-Making: Extra-Legal Influences

1. Judicial Activism -

2. Judicial Restraint -